	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/756,924	MISHIMA, NOBUHIRO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sherali Ishrat	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Sherali Ishrat</u> .	(3)	
(2) William C Rowland.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 August 2006	Time: <u>1;00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: na		
Claims discussed: 26-27		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	B DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that claims 1-7, 10, 24 and 26 are allowable over prior art of record as argued by the Applicant in the response dated 4/01/2004. However claims 8-9, 11-23, 25 and 27 are also presented which were previously canceled by an examiner's amendment. Applicant's Attorney replied that he will provide a supplement amendment to overcome the problem with previous examiner's amendment